

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
MISSOULA DIVISION

WILDEARTH GUARDIANS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DAVID BERNHARDT, et al.,

Defendants.

CV 20–183–M–DWM

ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(b) and 26(f) and Local Rules 16.1, 16.2, & 26.1,

IT IS ORDERED:

**1. Responsibility of Plaintiffs' Counsel.** This Order is issued at the outset of the case, and a copy is delivered by the Clerk of Court to counsel for the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs' counsel is directed to serve a copy of this Order to each other party within ten (10) days after receiving notice of that party's appearance. The plaintiffs' case may be dismissed without prejudice if the plaintiffs fail to serve opposing counsel with this Order.

**2. Rule 26(f) Conference and Case Management Plan.** The parties shall file a proposed case management plan on or before **March 12, 2021**. The parties shall e-mail a copy of the proposed case management plan in Word format to

dwm\_propord@mtd.uscourts.gov. Lead trial counsel for the respective parties shall, at least two weeks before the proposed case management plan is due, meet to discuss the nature and basis of their claims and defenses, to develop the proposed case management plan, and to discuss the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. The case management plan resulting from the Rule 26(f) conference is not subject to revision, absent compelling reasons.

**3. Contents of Case Management Plan.** The proposed case management plan should contain deadlines for the following pretrial motions and events or should state that such deadlines are not necessary:

- Motions to Dismiss (fully briefed)
- Certification of Administrative Record
- Motions to Supplement the Administrative Record
- Motions to Amend the Pleadings
- Completion of Discovery
- Motions for Summary Judgment (fully briefed)
- Additional Deadlines Agreed to by the Parties

“Fully briefed” means that the motion, the brief in support of the motion, and the opposing party’s response brief are filed with the Court by the deadline. A party seeking to amend the pleadings after the date specified above must show “good cause” for amendment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4). Only when that showing has been made will the Court consider whether amendment is proper under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.

**4. Representation at Rule 26(f) Conference.** Each party to the case must be represented at the Rule 26(f) conference by at least one person with authority to enter into stipulations.

**5. Stipulation to Foundation and Authenticity.** Pursuant to Rule 16(c)(3), the parties shall either:

(a) enter into the following stipulation:

The parties stipulate as to foundation and authenticity for all written documents produced in pre-trial disclosure and during the course of discovery. However, if receiving counsel objects to either the foundation or the authenticity of a particular document, then receiving counsel must make specific objections to producing counsel in writing within a reasonable time after receiving the document. A “reasonable” time means that producing counsel has sufficient time to lay the foundation or establish authenticity through depositions or other discovery. If the producing party objects to a document’s foundation or authenticity, the producing party shall so state, in writing, at the time of production, in sufficient time for receiving counsel to lay the foundation or establish authenticity through depositions or other discovery. All other objections are reserved.

or

(b) state why a stipulation to authenticity and foundation is not appropriate for the case.

**6. Administrative Record.** If an administrative record is involved, it must be filed on CDs or a thumb drive in an indexed and searchable format. The government shall provide the plaintiffs with the administrative record on or before the administrative record filing deadline set out in ¶ 3, *supra*. The government must also file with the Court a single hard copy of the following documents (along


with any attachments or appendices), to the extent any such documents are at issue in the case:

Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Assessment  
Supp. Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Assessment  
Record of Decision or Decision Notice  
Forest Plan or other programmatic planning document  
Biological Assessment  
Biological Opinion  
Finding of No Significant Impact  
Final Listing/Delisting Rule

**7. Acronyms.** In all documents filed with the Court, the parties shall not use any acronyms except for the following commonly understood acronyms in record review cases: NEPA, NFMA, APA, ESA, EIS, and EA.

**8. Consolidation.** On or before **February 1, 2021**, the parties shall address possible consolidation with what appears to be a related case, *Center for Biological Diversity v. Bernhardt*, CV 20–181–M–DWM. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 42. That filing shall either take the form of a motion to consolidate or a notice explaining why consolidation is not appropriate.

DATED this 15th day of December, 2020.

  
Donald W. Molloy, District Judge  
United States District Court